



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/898,639 07/03/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		Satoshi Hasegawa	P/126-206	9675	
7590 10/12/2006			EXAMINER		
Steven I Weisburd Esq			AZAD, ABUL K		
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas - 41ST FLOOR New York, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/898,639	HASEGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ABUL K. AZAD	2626		

Advisory Action	<i>09/898,639</i>				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ABUL K. AZAD	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c		ress		
		•	. 555		
IE REPLY FILED 29 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f).	g date of the final rejection in the graph of the graph o	on. ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	is of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>7. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu					
See Continuation Sheet.  12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No/s)				
13.  Other:	(	ABUL K. AZAD Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2626	10/10/06		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not deemed to be persuasive because Tsurushima teaches the claimed "weighting table" as explained in the final rejection.